

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,126	WAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lopez	1731

All Participants:

(1) Carlos Lopez.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(2) Henry Heines.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 October 2005

Time: 10am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-3, 7-8, 15-17, 19-20, and 21-40

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was noted to applicant, that after further consideration, that the rejection made under 35 USC rejecting the pending claims for lacking enablement of the limitation "less than 100nanometers" would be withdrawn. Applicant agreed to amend claims 1-3 to revert back to its original form of reciting the limitation "less than 100nanometers." Additionally, applicant agreed to cancel claims 7-8, 15-17, and 19-20, for lacking support in the provisional application,hence in canceling the claims, the previously made art rejections would be moot..